


**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

In response to the restriction requirement dated January 31, 2005, Applicant hereby elects Group I with traverse. Applicant respectfully traverses the requirement to select between Groups I and II. Group I consists of claims 1 and 2 while Group II consists of Claims 3 and 4. All four claims are drawn to methods which are admitted to be in the same class and subclass. Therefore, there is no reasonable basis to distinguish claims 1 and 2 from claims 3 and 4. If the Examiner withdraws that distinction, Applicant would elect Groups I and II consisting of claims 1-4 as one group to prosecute herein. Such is requested.

Regarding the election of species, Applicant hereby elects Species B of FIG. 8 and notes that claims 2 and 4 are readable thereon.

Respectfully submitted,




Leonard Tachner  
Attorney for the Applicant  
Registration No. 26,344

Dated: 2/14/05

LT/jf  
(949) 752-8525 Telephone  
(949) 955-2415 Telefax

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**  
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class mail in an envelope addressed to:  
**MAIL STOP Amendment**  
**COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS**  
**U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**  
**P.O. Box 1450**  
**Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450**

On 2/14/05 (date)  
LEONARD TACHNER, Registered Pat.



(Signature) (date)